Brightest Group and Cluster Galaxies as Probes of Galaxy Evolution



Paola Oliva-Altamirano Warrick Couch, Sarah Brough, Chris Lidman Richard McDermid

Outline

- Introduction
- Stellar mass growth of Brightest Group and Cluster Galaxies.
- Accretion Histories of Brightest Cluster Galaxies.
- Summary
- Upcoming research

Galaxy Groups and Clusters



Brightest Group and Cluster Galaxies (BGG/BCG)

Giant early-type galaxy

Centrally located

Brightest and most massive

Higher velocity dispersions than normal ellipiticals

Hierarchical structure formation model



Lacey & Cole (1993)

Outline

- Introduction
- Stellar mass growth of Brightest Group and Cluster Galaxies.
- Accretion Histories of Brightest Cluster Galaxies.
- Summary
- Upcoming research



~300,000 galaxies r < 19.8 mag Over ~290 deg²

1611.







0.00

0.05

0.10 0.15 0.20

Redshift



0.25

GAMA catalogues



GAMA catalogues





Halo Mass and position of the Central galaxy

- Groups are selected by an adaptive friends-of-friends algorithm. Tested with mock catalogues.
 - $M_{halo} \sim A\sigma_{halo} R_{50}$

Semi-Analytical models predict dry major mergers as the source of growth since z=1



Thanks to Lidman et al. 2012!





BGG/BCG M_{*} - M_{halo} relationship

Oliva-Altamirano et. al. (2014)



Slope: 0.32 +/- 0.09 883 BGGs/BCGs group multiplicity >5 0.09 < z < 0.27

Previous work: Lin & Mohr (2004): z < 0.09, ~0.26 Brough et al. (2008): z < 0.1, ~0.24 Hansen et al. (2009): 0.1 < z < 0.3, ~0.3

Lidman et al. (2012): 0.63+/-0.07 0.05 < z < 1.6









In order to make an accurate comparison we select all the halos of similar mass at z = 0



We find that: BGGs and BCGs have M_{*} growth rate in the last 3 billion years is

> M_{*} low-z/M_{*} high-z =0.94±0.09

Clusters



Semi-Analytical models they do not take into account the M_{*} - M_{halo} relationship

Clusters



Semi-Analytical models they do not take into account the M_* - M_{halo} relationship

Clusters



SAMs suggest a 30% growth since z=0.4 Observations suggest a 10% growth since z=0.4

In agreement with Inagaki et. al. (2014) who found a growth of about 10% between z = 0.4 and z = 0.2

Semi-Analytical models they do not take into account the M_{*} - M_{halo} relationship BCGs acquire their mass rapidly at early epochs

but the growth slows down in the last 5 billion years.

Oliva-Altamirano et. al. (2014)

BCGs Star formation and AGN activity in the last 3 billion years





Clusters (i.e. BCGs)



25

The specific star formation rate in BGGs and BCGs it is not significant as to contribute on the stellar mass growth



However this cannot be ignored in SAMs. See Tonini et. al. (2012)

Conclusions

BCGs show no growth in the last 4 billion years.





See Oliva-Altamirano et. al. (2014)

Outline

- Introduction
- Stellar mass growth of Brightest Group and Cluster Galaxies.
- Accretion Histories of Brightest Cluster Galaxies.
- Summary
- Upcoming research

The importance of major and minor mergers in BCGs



Burke & Collins et. al. (2013), Lidman et. al. (2013) and Edwards & Patton (2012):

- BGC stellar mass grows by major mergers at 0.8 < z < 1.5
- BGC stellar mass grows by minor mergers at z < 0.3

Nevertheless major mergers are not impossible to occur at low redshifts (Brough et. al., 2011).



Integral Field Unit (IFU) Spectroscopy





BCG are predicted to be slow rotators...

• Angular momentum: SAURON λ_{R} parameter, Emsellem et al. (2007)

ATLAS^{3D} ellipticity parameter Cappellari et al. (2011)



The ATLAS^{3D} comb (2011)

The SAURON sample contains only 9 galaxies with $M_* > 10^{11.3} M_{sun}$, and only 1 of them is a BCG

Data

9 BCGs and 3 of them with close similar mass companions.

Observed with VIMOS on the VLT, selected from SDSS.

VIMOS IFU, VLT



Kinematics

In collaboration with Kim-Vy Tran and Jimmy (Texas A&M University)



Brough et. al., 2011, Jimmy et. al. (2013)

~30% of BCGs are fast rotators



Is the angular momentum a good indicator of ongoing mergers?



Accretion histories from stellar population gradients



Flat gradients are the result of major dissipationless mergers. Met gradient < -0.3

Steep gradients could be due to a core collapsed formation or major mergers Involving high fractions of gas. Met gradient > -0.4

Kobayashi et. al. 2004, Hopkins et. al. 2009, Hirschmann in prep.

Age and metallicity estimations

Models: Vazdekis et. al. 2010 Library: MILES Sánchez-Blázquez et. al. 2006



STECKMAP (Ocvirk et al. 2006a,b) uses Bayesian statistics to estimate the stellar population from the spectra. As a result we obtain stellar metallicities and ages



Method



BCGs have high central metallicities and intermediate central ages.



The central stellar populations are very different compared to those of early-type galaxies.

BCGs have shallow stellar population gradients.



Merger Histories



Early- type galaxies:

Old-metal poor central stellar populations. Shallow stellar population gradients.

Agrees with early-type galaxy simulations: Naab et. al. 2013, Hirschmann et. al. 2013, Peeple et. al. 2014.

They experience **<u>passive</u>** accretion histories (No star formation since z = 2).



Brightest cluster galaxies:

Intermediate age-metal rich central stellar Populations.

Shallow stellar population gradients.

Disagrees with SAM De Lucia et. al. 2007 Agrees with Tonini et. al. 2012.

They experience <u>active</u> accretion histories (Star formation up to z = 1).

Conclusions

The dense environments where BCGs evolve allow them to experience many mergers in time. These ongoing accretion events will trigger star formation at z > 1 resulting in intermediate central ages, and will disrupt the metallicity gradients at z < 1.

Oliva-Altamirano et. al. (submitted)



This is what we don't know...

- Are this models suitable to study BCGs?
- When did exactly the SF quenched?
- What is going on out side $1 R_{e?}$

Outline

- Introduction
- Stellar mass growth of Brightest Group and Cluster Galaxies.
- Accretion Histories of Brightest Cluster Galaxies.
- Summary
- Upcoming research

Summary

- BCGs provide critical tests for galaxy formation and evolution models.
- BCGs grow at fast rate from z = 1 to z = 0.5 slowing down in the last 5 billion years.
- BCGs experiment an active accretion history throughout their cosmic time. These mergers contribute to the growth of stellar mass in time. At high redshifts the BCG stellar mass is accreted mostly by major mergers (Lidman et al., 2013; Burke & Collins, 2013). At lower redshifts their stellar mass growth is a result of minor mergers (Edwards & Patton, 2012).

Outline

- Introduction
- Stellar mass growth of Brightest Group and Cluster Galaxies.
- Accretion Histories of Brightest Cluster Galaxies.
- Summary
- Upcoming research



- 1. What is the influence of environment on the angular momentum and stellar populations of central galaxies?
- 2. Are BGGs simply a step in the evolution of BCGs or do they have distinct accretion histories?

Upcoming work...

• SPIRAL IFU Observations (May 2012)

18 Brightest **Group** Galaxies from the GAMA.

- Kinematics
- Central stellar populations
- Stellar population gradients
- Other properties of the group: dominance, masses, emission lines.



Anglo Australian Telescope (AAT)

New Australian IFUs



View Revisions

SAMI Survey Observes 700th Galaxy!

and the observing continues...



Puts together 13 fused hexabundles each containing 61 fibers. FOV 1 deg² 3000 galaxies across a large range of environment.

First Public Data Release 107 galaxies <u>http://sami-survey.org/edr</u>

New Australian IFUs

KOALA Kilofibre Optical AAT Lenslet Array

Overview



1000 hexagonal lenslets in a rectangular array.

FOV 15.3 X 28.3 arcsec, 0.7" sampling 27.4 X 50.6 arcsec, 1.25" sampling

science/instruments/current/ koala/overview

Thanks for your attention!

http://www.aao.gov.au/conference/massive-galaxies-2015

