GRB observations at very high energies with the MAGIC telescopes

Markus Garczarczyk
- for the MAGIC collaboration -

1.

Major Atmospheric Gamma-ray Imaging Cherenkov Telescope

- La Palma, Canary Island, 2200 m a.s.l.
- MAGIC-I since 2004, stereo since 2009
- 2 × 236 m² mirror area
- E_{thr} = 50 GeV @ Zenith (stereo) reaching 25 GeV with sum-trigger
 → E overlap with satellites
- Sensitivity: 0.8% Crab (>200 GeV in 50h)
- Further special's in MAGIC:
 - Carbon fibre tube structure
 - Parabolic reflector
 - \rightarrow shower movies not images
 - Tessellated mirror surface made of diamond-turned Al-mirrors and glass mirrors (cold slumping technique)
 - Active Mirror Control (AMC)
 - Signal transferred over optical fibres
 - 2 GHz digitalization (DRS4)

light-weight construction repositioning $\Delta Az = 180^{\circ}$ in 20s









 \cdot Markus Garczarczyk \cdot GRB2012 Munich \cdot



- Exponential decrease of cosmic ray flux towards higher energies
- Satellites have limited detector area (~1 m²)
- IACTs use earth's atmosphere as detector $(\sim 10^3 \text{ m}^2)$
- Detection of Cherenkov light flashes initiated in particle cascades
- IACTs have small FoV (3.5° in MAGIC) ٠
- Attenuation of VHE photons by the EBL
- Comparison of the data with MC simulations





· Markus Garczarczyk · GRB2012 Munich ·

muon-shower

gamma-shower



Markus Garczarczyk · GRB2012 Munich ·

MAGIC GRB observations







GRB080430 afterglow



Facts:

- SWIFT BAT trigger, T₉₀ = 16 s
- Redshift z = 0.758
- Only MAGIC-I mono, no sum-trigger
- Start MAGIC observation at T₀ + 4763 s (trigger during daytime in La Palma)
- Observation time: 9616 s
- Low zenith angle: 23° < Zd < 35°
- E_{th} = 90 GeV

Afterglow modeling:

- Band function:
 - $E_{peak} = 39 \pm 12$ keV, estimated from BAT data (best fit of Amati relation)

MAGIC

- $E_{iso} = 3 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{51} \text{ erg}$
- Only SSC considered:

 $\begin{bmatrix} F_{90GeV, 8ks} = 2.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \\ F_{MAGIC UL} = 5.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \\ \text{Different EDL observation module} \end{bmatrix}$

Different EBL absorption models

J. Aleksic et al., A&A, 517, 2010

GRB080430 afterglow



Facts:

- SWIFT BAT trigger, T₉₀ = 16 s
- Redshift z = 0.758
- Only MAGIC-I mono, no sum-trigger
- Start MAGIC observation at T₀ + 4763 s (trigger during daytime in La Palma)
- Observation time: 9616 s
- Low zenith angle: 23° < Zd < 35°
- E_{th} = 90 GeV

Prompt emission/afterglow modeling:

- Band function:
 - $E_{peak} = 39 \pm 12$ keV, estimated from BAT data (best fit of Amati relation)
 - $E_{iso} = 3 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{51} \text{ erg}$
- Only SSC considered:

 $F_{90GeV, 8ks} = 2.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ $F_{MAGIC UL} = 5.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Different EBL absorption models



J. Aleksic et al., A&A, 517, 2010

GRB090102

- SWIFT BAT trigger, $T_{90} = 27 \pm 2 s$
- Very good reconstruction of the prompt emission parameters: SWIFT, Konus Wind and INTEGRAL simultaneous observation
- Band function parameters:

$$E_{peak} = 451^{+73}_{-58} keV$$

$$\phi_{20keV-2MeV} = 3.09^{+0.29}_{-0.25} \times 10^5 \frac{erg}{cm^2}$$

- Redshift (NOT) z = 1.547
- Optical afterglow detected by various telescopes
- No signal with LAT

MAGIC observation:

- Start MAGIC observation at T₀ + 1161 s (delay due to technical problems)
- Zenith range $5^{\circ} \rightarrow 52^{\circ}$
- Total observation time: 13149 s, only first 5919 s used in this analysis (Zd < 25°)
- MAGIC-I + with sum-trigger



GCN-report 192.1





Standard fireball model scenario

- First simultaneous GRB observation by MAGIC & LAT
- VHE photons produced by SSC
- Hadronic component can exceed the electron component at MAGIC energies





GRB090102 expected SSC emission



Conclusions

- 55 GRB follow-up observations by MAGIC since 2004
- Most MAGIC observations without MWL coverage
- Several GBM follow-up's with large coordinate error
- To date, only UL's on VHE gamma ray emission by MAGIC
- Without redshift information interpretation of MAGIC results difficult
- Modeling of the VHE afterglow component for GRB080430 and GRB090102:
 - \rightarrow Big advantage of simultaneous LAT and MAGIC data
 - \rightarrow Due to low E_{th} already MAGIC-I was capable to detect the VHE emission
 - \rightarrow Until now MAGIC was unlucky (delays, initial failures)
 - \rightarrow Low redshift and short delay to T₀ are essential



Outlook

- Observing in stereo mode since 2009 (2 × higher sensitivity)
- 2011 readout upgrade (DRS4), this year upgrade of MAGIC-I camera
- Recent changes/improvements of the GRB observation performance
- GRB follow-up observations are a top priority for MAGIC

Wish MAGIC more luck for the future!

