Scaling Properties of Afterglow Radiation



A. MacFadyen H. van Eerten P. Duffel J. Zrake (NYU)

Hyper-accreting black hole or ms magnetar



GRB photons are made far away from engine.

Can't observe engine directly with light. (neutrinos, gravitational waves?)

Electromagnetic process or neutrino annihilation to tap power of central compact object.

A. MacFadyen (NYU)

A. MacFadyen (NYU)



A. MacFadyen (NYU)







Afterglow Jet Dynamics



Model parameters:

dynamics:

Explosion energy E_{iso} , circumburst density $n \propto n_0 r^{-k}$, jet opening angle θ_{jet}

(synchrotron) radiation:

observer position A. MacFadyen (NYU) magnetic field fraction ε_B , particle energy fraction ε_E , particle number fraction ξ_N , synchrotron slope p

observer angle θ_{obs} , luminosity distance, redshift Fermi/Swift GRBs 2012, Munich



Ej = 2e52 θj=0.05 n=1cm^-3

5/8/12





A. MacFadyen (NYU)

Fermi/Swift GRBs 2012, Munich





2D Moving Mesh: Γ = 110



Γ = 300



A. MacFadyen (NYU)

Fermi/Swift GRBs 2012, Munich

5/8/12

Synchrotron linear radiative transfer

For a given observer / arrival time, a single intersecting plane at each emission time



- Optically thin limit: Just count all emission
- Emission & absorption, no scattering (i.e. synchrotron radiation):

linear radiative transfer for all rays perpendicular to intersecting plane

$$\frac{dI_{\nu}}{dz} = -\alpha_{\nu}I_{\nu} + j_{\nu}$$

$$t_{obs} = t_{travel} + t_e - R/c$$

$$dt_e \sim \Gamma^2 dt_{obs}, \qquad \Gamma \sim 100$$

the challenge: the jet nearly keeps up with its radiation





A. MacFadyen (NYU)

Fermi/Swift GRBs 2012, Munich

5/8/12

Off-Axis Light Curves van Eerten, Zhang & AM (ApJ, 2010)



On Axis



A. MacFadyen (NYU)

On Edge



A. MacFadyen (NYU)

Estimated Jet Break Time for Off-Axis Observer

$$t_j = 3.5(1+z)E_{iso,53}^{1/3}n_1^{-1/3}\left(\frac{\theta_0 + \theta_{obs}}{0.2}\right)^{8/3} \text{ days},$$



Theta likely = 2/3 Theta 0

Analytical models vs. numerical jet simulations

Analytical jet models are limited when it comes to e.g.:

- •Trans-relativistic deceleration of jets and emergence of the counterjet
- •Fluid profile of spreading jets
- •Off-axis observations (including orphan afterglows & slightly off-axis)
- •Shape of the jet break in the light curve

Analytical models vs. numerical jet simulations

Analytical jet models are limited when it comes to e.g.:

- •Trans-relativistic deceleration of jets and emergence of the counterjet
- •Fluid profile of spreading jets
- •Off-axis observations (including orphan afterglows & slightly off-axis)
- •Shape of the jet break in the light curve

All these issues can be addressed by numerical simulations

- High-resolution relativistic hydrodynamics, adaptive mesh-refinement with RAM
 radiative transfer for synchrotron radiation
- •*This talk:* even complex 2D simulation results are scalable
- •This talk: simulation-based broadband data fitting now possible
- •This talk: a tool for improved survey predictions

Examples of afterglow light curves



These are calculated by applying radiative transfer to the jet simulation results

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}I_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}z} = -\alpha_{\nu}I_{\nu} + j_{\nu}$ $t_{obs} = t_{travel} + t_e - R/c$



Generic light curves optical & low radio jet has opening angle of 0.4 rad

From AMR RHD simulation to light curve



Simulate for energy *E*, density *n*, opening angle θ , then synchrotron radiative transfer calculation

From AMR RHD simulation to light curve



Simulate for energy *E*, density *n*, opening angle θ , then synchrotron radiative transfer calculation Business as usual: rerun simulation for different *E*, *n*

More on scalings 1 / 2

some observations...

blast wave variables:

$$E_{\rm iso}/\rho_0, \theta_0; r, t, \theta \to \rho(E_{\rm iso}/\rho_0; r, t, \theta), p(.), \gamma(.), R(.), \ldots$$

fluid equations can be rewritten in terms of dimensionless parameters: $r, t, \theta \to A = ct/r, B = E_{\rm iso}t^2/R^5\rho_0, \theta$

dynamics invariant under transform of $~E_{
m iso}/
ho$

$$E_{\rm iso}/\rho_0 \to \alpha E_{\rm iso}/\rho_0, \quad t \to \alpha^{1/3}t, \quad r \to \alpha^{1/3}$$

 $A \to A, \quad B \to B$

In other words, only one (numerically challenging!) simulation needed.

(A and B not explicitly required. Just compensate in r and t, since energy over density is a combination of cm and s)

More on scalings 2 / 2

$$r, t, \theta \to A = ct/r, B = E_{\rm iso}t^2/R^5\rho_0, \theta$$

limiting cases:

- ultrarelativistic: $A \rightarrow 1$
- nonrelativistic: $A \to \infty$
- so spherical (no θ) blast waves are *self-similar* in these limits:

 $\rho(r, t, \theta) \to \rho(B),$ etc...

"Blandford-McKee" relativistic

"Sedov-Taylor" non-relativistic



Sedov-Taylor blast wave image: Landau & Lifshitz 1952

intermediate stage in 2D more complex



A. MacFadyen (NYU)

Fermi/Swift GRBs 2012, Munich

5/8/12

Calculate jet dynamics by applying scaling



Different *E* and *n* can be obtained by scaling: greatly reduces parameter space



5/8/12

Scalings, the full formulae

| F or ν | leading order scalings | κ | λ |
|--------------|---|-----------------|-----------------------|
| $F_{B,BM}$ | $(1+z)E_{iso}^{1/2}n_0^{-1/2}\epsilon_e^1\epsilon_B^0\xi_N^{-1}t^{1/2}\nu^2$ | $\kappa^{2/3}$ | $\lambda^{-2/3}$ |
| $F_{B,ST}$ | $(1+z)E_{iso}^{4/5}n_0^{-4/5}\epsilon_e^1\epsilon_B^0\xi_N^{-1}t^{-2/5}\nu^2$ | | |
| $F_{D,BM}$ | $(1+z)E_{iso}^{5/6}n_0^{1/2}\epsilon_e^{-2/3}\epsilon_B^{1/3}\xi_N^{5/3}t^{1/2}\nu^{1/3}$ | κ^1 | $\lambda^{1/3}$ |
| $F_{D,ST}$ | $(1+z)E_{iso}^{7/15}n_0^{13/15}\epsilon_e^{-2/3}\epsilon_B^{1/3}\xi_N^{5/3}t^{8/5}\nu^{1/3}$ | | |
| $F_{E,BM}$ | $(1+z)E_{iso}^{7/6}n_0^{5/6}\epsilon_e^0\epsilon_B^1\xi_N^1t^{1/6}\nu^{1/3}$ | $\kappa^{11/9}$ | $\lambda^{7/9}$ |
| $F_{E,ST}$ | $(1 + z)E_{iso}^{1}n_{0}^{1}\epsilon_{e}^{0}\epsilon_{B}^{1}\xi_{N}^{1}t^{2/3}\nu^{1/3}$ | | |
| $F_{F,BM}$ | $(1+z)E_{iso}^{3/4}n_0^0\epsilon_e^0\epsilon_B^{-1/4}\xi_N^1t^{-1/4}\nu^{-1/2}$ | $\kappa^{2/3}$ | $\lambda^{1/12}$ |
| $F_{F,ST}$ | $(1+z)E_{iso}^{1/2}n_0^{1/4}\epsilon_e^0\epsilon_B^{-1/4}\xi_N^1t^{1/2}\nu^{-1/2}$ | | |
| $F_{G,BM}$ | $(1+z)E_{iso}^{(p+3)/4}n_0^{1/2}\epsilon_e^{p-1}\epsilon_B^{(1+p)/4}\xi_N^{2-p}t^{3(1-p)/4}\nu^{(1-p)/2}$ | κ^1 | $\lambda^{(1+p)/4}$ |
| $F_{G,ST}$ | $(1+z)E_{iso}^{(5p+3)/10}n_0^{(19-5p)/20}\epsilon_e^{p-1}\epsilon_B^{(1+p)/4}\xi_N^{2-p}t^{(21-15p)/10}\nu^{(1-p)/2}$ | | |
| $F_{H,BM}$ | $(1 + z)E_{iso}^{(p+2)/4}n_0^0\epsilon_e^{p-1}\epsilon_B^{(p-2)/4}\xi_N^{2-p}t^{(2-3p)/4}\nu^{-p/2}$ | $\kappa^{2/3}$ | $\lambda^{(3p-2)/12}$ |
| $F_{H,ST}$ | $(1 + z)E_{iso}^{(p)/2}n_0^{(2-p)/4}\epsilon_e^{p-1}\epsilon_B^{(p-2)/4}\xi_N^{2-p}t^{(4-3p)/2}\nu^{-p/2}$ | | |



Calculate light curves by applying scaling



All light curves can be calculated by scaling a basic set for E and n

Calculate light curves by applying scaling



A. MacFadyen (NYU)

5/8/12

summarizing: what scales and what doesn't?

Scales throughout the ejecta evolution:

Dynamics:

Explosion energy (through observer time) Circumburst medium density (through observer time)

Radiation:

magnetic field, particle energy, particle number fraction (i.e. they all scale, this is neither new nor unexpected)

Left in parameter space:

Dynamics:

initial jet opening angle circumburst density structure ('k')

Radiation / observer position:

observer angle [transitions between spectral regimes, use sharp / smooth spectral powerlaws]

This implies:

- 1. Run simulations for different jet opening angles, and for wind and ISM
- 2. calculate light curve characteristics for different observer angles
- 3. collect resulting overview of parameter space and link to fit code / rate predictions etc.

Example application: model fit to GRB 990510



- Iterative fit to radio, optical & X-ray data, based on 2D jet simulations
- Synchrotron slope p > 2, in contrast to 1.8 from Panaitescu & Kumar (2002)
- reduced χ -squared 3.235 for off-axis observer, while 5.389 on-axis
- observer angle θ is 0.0016 rad, one third of jet angle 0.0048 rad

A. MacFadyen (NYU)

Example application: characteristic behavior



In the top row: scaling works for all observer angles

In the bottom row: schematic synchrotron, synchrotron break frequency, cooling break frequency



new insight: characteristics change quickly following jet break

Example application: generic light curves on-line

The ability to quickly generate light curves and GRB afterglows to probe parameter space...



generic low energy light curves for different angles and frequencies

(orphan afterglows? LOFAR, SKA? etc.)

On-line website with downloadable light curves:

http://cosmo.nyu.edu/afterglowlibrary



http://cosmo.nyu.edu/ afterglowlibrary/

Supported by NASA NNX10AF62G



A. MacFadyen (NYU)

Summary

 Both jet dynamics and broadband light curves are scalable in energy in density

as a result we now can

- iteratively fit complex 2D simulation results to data (e.g. grb990510)
- calculate arbitrary parameter value light curves 'on demand'

which is useful for exploring parameter space (i.e. surveys) and readily generalized to similar blast wave / jet phenomena:

- both long and short GRB's
- supernova blast waves (talks Assaf Horesh, Laura Chomiuk)
- tidal disruption jets (talk Brian Metzger)

-?

all light curves, spectra, fit codes etc. available on-line:

(in the [near] future also fit code and continuous parameter space light curves)

http://cosmo.nyu.edu/afterglowlibrary

MARA



Zrake & MacFadyen (2011)



Conclusions

- Jet Dynamics Scale
- Light Curves Scale
- Γ numerical $\gtrsim 200 \ (\gg I/\theta jet)$
- Fit data with full dynamics
- $t_{jet} \Rightarrow \theta jet + \theta obs \Rightarrow Ejet \downarrow$
- <u>http://cosmo.nyu.edu/afterglowlibrary</u>

• Turbulence $\Rightarrow \epsilon_{B} = 10^{-2}$

A. MacFadyen (NYU)

GRB Light Curves



A. MacFadyen (NYU)

Fermi/Swift GRBs 2012, Munich Ultra-clean 5/8/12



Duffel & AM (2011)

GRBs from Stars

- Need ejecta to escape star before engine dies.
 T_engine > T_escape
- T_escape ~ 2 x T_light (~3 s = 10^11 cm)
- T_engine >> T_dyn for BH or NS
- T_ACCRETE ~ 20-100s s for massive star
- Need angular momentum (not too much)
- Need to lose H envelope -> WR progenitor and Type lbc supernova (if Ni56)

Collapsar - Disk and Jet

- pre-SN 15 Msun Helium star
- Newtonian Hydrodynamics (PPM)
- alpha viscosity
- rotation
- photodisintegration (NSE alpha, n, p)
- neutrino cooling, thermal + URCA optically thin
- Ideal nucleons, radiation, relativistic degenerate electrons, positions
- 2D axisymmetric, spherical grid
- self gravity
- $R_{in} = 9 R_s R_{out} = 9000 R_s$







A. MacFadyen (NYU)

Fermi/Swift GRBs 2012, Munich

5/8/12