Simulations of GRB Jets in a Stratified External Medium

Fabio De Colle (UCSC)

Munich, May 2012

Fabio De Colle (UCSC)

In collaboration with:

- Enrico Ramirez-Ruiz (UCSC)
- Diego Lopez-Cámara (North Caroline State U)
- Jonathan Granot (Hebrew/Tel Aviv/Hertfordshire University)
- Guillermo Garcia-Segura (UNAM)

References

- De Colle, F. et al., ApJ, 746, 122 (2012)
- 2 De Colle, F. et al., ApJ, 751, 57 (2012)







Introduction



$$E \sim \gamma^2 M(r_d) c^2 \Rightarrow$$

 $r_d \sim 3 \times 10^{16} \left(rac{E_{52}}{\gamma_{300}^2 n_0}
ight)^{1/3}$ cm.

The afterglow in a "nutshell":

Oynamics:

- u = Γv/c ≥ 1/θ₀ ⇒ Blandford & McKee self-similar solution
- *u* ≪ 1 ⇒ Sedov-Taylor self-similar solution
- $u \approx 1 \Rightarrow$ Numerical simulations
- Emission: synchrotron radiation

Motivation

 GRB dynamics strongly depends on the density distribution of the ambient medium

Motivation

- GRB dynamics strongly depends on the density distribution of the ambient medium
- SN-GRB association implies the GRB should move into a WR wind
 - ⇒ But see e.g. Schulze et al. 2011

Motivation

- GRB dynamics strongly depends on the density distribution of the ambient medium
- SN-GRB association implies the GRB should move into a WR wind
 - ⇒ But see e.g. Schulze et al. 2011
- simple analytical scalings do not provide an accurate description of the afterglow
- numerical studies have been limited to the case of a uniform medium (e.g. Granot et al. 2001, Zhang & MacFadyen 2009)

We need to compute:

- ✓ The dynamics of the decelerating GRB
- ✓ The radiation coming from the decelerating GRB

Methods

The "SRHD/AMR/MPI" Mezcal code



Fabio De Colle (UCSC)

Afterglow radiation calculation

- ✓ From synchrotron radiation
- ⇒ Microphysics of the acceleration and emission processes parameterized by taking $\epsilon_e = \epsilon_B = 0.1$, p = 2.5.

1D GRB jets with $\rho \sim r^{-k}$



In general:
$$E \propto \Gamma^2 M v^2 \propto \Gamma^2 v^2 R^{3-k}$$

 $\Rightarrow L_s \sim 10^{18} \left(\frac{E_{52}}{n_0}\right)^{1/3} \text{ cm}$
 $\Rightarrow \Gamma v \approx R^{-(3-k)/2}.$

1D GRB jets



In general:
$$E \propto \Gamma^2 v^2 R^{3-k}$$

 $E = R^{3-k} \Gamma^2 (c_R v^2 + c_{NR}(1-v^2))$
 $\Rightarrow v = v(R)$
 $\Rightarrow R = R(t)$

1D GRB jets



In general:
$$E \propto \Gamma^2 v^2 R^{3-k}$$

 $E = R^{3-k} \Gamma^2 (c_R v^2 + c_{NR}(1-v^2))$
 $\Rightarrow v = v(R)$
 $\Rightarrow R = R(t)$

- Deceleration to non-relativistic speeds in 1d occurs on scales much larger than the Sedov length (see van Eerten et al. 2010 for the k = 0 case)
- $L_{\rm nr}$ increases with k

How well can we recover the BMK scalings?

Post-shock region of order of ${\it R}_{\rm sh}/\Gamma^2 \Rightarrow$ simulations are numerical very challenging

How well can we recover the BMK scalings?

Post-shock region of order of $R_{\rm sh}/\Gamma^2 \Rightarrow$ simulations are numerical very challenging

Running SRHD simulations with not enough resolution may give unphysical results!



How well can we recover the BMK scalings?

Post-shock region of order of $R_{\rm sh}/\Gamma^2 \Rightarrow$ simulations are numerical very challenging

Running SRHD simulations with not enough resolution may give unphysical results!



How well can we recover the BMK scalings?

Post-shock region of order of $R_{\rm sh}/\Gamma^2 \Rightarrow$ simulations are numerical very challenging

Running SRHD simulations with not enough resolution may give unphysical results!



2D GRB jets



Fabio De Colle (UCSC)



jet initialized as a conical wedge with radial profiles given by the spherical BMK solution \Rightarrow transient phase with formation of an egg-like shape

- expansion velocity remains mainly radial at small angles and non-relativistic at large angles
- Iateral expansion increases with k





- swept up external mass ⇒ edge of the jet
- 2 but most of the energy ⇒ near the head of the jet
- early expansion is faster with increasing k
- with increasing k, spherical symmetry is achieved later

Light curves



Calorimetry



Summary

- Dynamics of the GRB jets are greatly modified by the density stratification
- k = 2 vs k = 0:
 - Faster/slower jet lateral expansion at early/late times
 - 2 Jet break smoother due to the density stratification
 - Ounter-jet contribution smaller
- calorimetry: error in assuming a spherical flow approximation depends on the exact observing time and density stratification.

A more realistic model for a Wolf-Rayet wind



Garcia-Segura & RR (2008)

sigma=0.01

Advertisement: poster P-III-10 (tomorrow in the astro-ph)



The dynamics, appearance and demographics of relativistic jets triggered by tidal disruption of stars in quiescent supermassive black holes F. De Colle, J. Gallochon, J. Naiman, E. Ramirez-Ruiz ApJ submitted

Fabio De Colle (UCSC)

GRB Jets