

Levenberg-Marquardt Minimization

Jörn Wilms
Remeis-Sternwarte & ECAP
Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

<http://pulsar.sternwarte.uni-erlangen.de/wilms/>
joern.wilms@sternwarte.uni-erlangen.de



FRIEDRICH-ALEXANDER
UNIVERSITÄT
ERLANGEN-NÜRNBERG

NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE
FAKULTÄT



ERLANGEN CENTRE
FOR ASTROPARTICLE
PHYSICS

Introduction

The fitting problem:

- Given the **linear model**

$$N_{\text{ph, predicted}}(\mathbf{c}; \rho) = \Delta T \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n_{\text{ch}}} A(E_i) \cdot R(\mathbf{c}, i) \cdot M(E_i; \rho) \cdot \Delta E_i + N_{\text{background}}(\mathbf{c})$$
$$\forall \mathbf{c} \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_{\text{en}}\} \quad (1)$$

where $M(E_i; \rho)$ is the **spectral model, which depends on parameters \mathbf{x}** ,
and

- given a **fit statistics**

$$S^2(\mathbf{x}) = f(N_{\text{ph, measured}}, N_{\text{ph, predicted}}(\mathbf{x})) \quad (2)$$

what is the \mathbf{x} of the “most likely” mode.

\Rightarrow **Minimization problem**

Minimization Methods

Take the **residual vector** at a value \mathbf{x} :

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{\text{computed} - \text{observed}}{\sigma} \quad (3)$$

Change as one varies \mathbf{x} :

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{R} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{J} = \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{d\mathbf{x}} \quad (4)$$

\mathbf{J} : **Jacobi matrix**.

Change parameters by

$$\Delta \mathbf{x} = -\alpha^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta} \quad (5)$$

where the **curvature matrix** is

$$\alpha = \mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{J} \quad (6)$$

Then iterate until convergence.

Levenberg-Marquardt

Levenberg-Marquardt-method (LM-Method): Numerical method to minimize fit statistics

Levenberg, 1944, Q. Appl. Math 2, 164, Marquardt, 1963, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 11, 431

Modify simple gradient minimization by **damping**:

Replace α with α' where

$$\alpha'_{jj} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{jj}(1 + \lambda) & \text{multiplicative damping} \\ \alpha_{jj} + \lambda & \text{additive damping} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

i.e., the **damped curvature matrix** is (add. damping):

$$\alpha + \lambda \mathbb{1} \quad (8)$$

LM: **adjust λ** : Initially: want $\alpha \sim$ gradient, to lie in direction of steepest descent.

for additive damping: shrinks $\Delta p \implies$ stabilizing iteration by preconditioning the matrix α ; in contrast, multiplicative damping will help the method against badly scaled problems

Levenberg-Marquardt

LM algorithm: decide how to change λ between steps:

Depending on how **sum of squares** (SOS) behaves at $\mathbf{x} + \Delta\mathbf{x}$:

- SOS decreased: $\lambda' = \lambda \cdot \text{DROP}$
- SOS increased: drop p' , set $\lambda' = \lambda \cdot \text{BOOST}$

Note:

1. **Assumes sum of squares-like likelihood**

ML won't work well on C-stat!!

2. **Efficiency depends on values of BOOST and DROP.**

Lampton (1997, Computers in Physics 11, 110): additive, $\text{DROP} = 0.1$, $\text{BOOST} = 1.5$ is well behaved, but not always

XSPEC does not allow changing these parameters, ISIS does