

# Synchrotron emission from molecular clouds

Andy Strong  
MPE Garching

Clive Dickinson  
Univ. Manchester

CRISM Montpellier June 2014

Synchrotron emission from molecular clouds

There isn't any, right?

# Synchrotron emission from molecular clouds

There isn't any, right?

Disclaimer: everything in this talk is mega-preliminary!

# The idea : (& the puzzle which led to this work)

In molecular clouds:

Magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$ , gas density  $n$

Observations show:

$$\mathbf{B} \sim n^{0.5}$$

But

$$\text{synchrotron} \sim \mathbf{B}^2$$



$$\text{synchrotron} \sim n$$

Integrated over line-of-sight

$$I(\text{synchrotron}) \sim N(H) \quad \text{gas column density}$$


Molecular clouds should shine in synchrotron!




The synchrotron sky should resemble the CO sky!

Synchrotron map shows no obvious sign of molecular gas.

Little resemblance to CO map.




408 MHz  
Haslam



$^{12}\text{CO}$ , Planck

## Correct 408 MHz for free-free emission



408 MHz  
Haslam


free-free  
WMAP MEM

408 MHz  
*minus*  
extrapolated free-free

$^{12}\text{CO}$ , Planck

NB 408 MHz includes SNR etc. which would disturb a correlation with CO

From Zeeman effect Crutcher (2012)



**Figure 1.** Magnetic field strengths  $B_z$  as measured by Zeeman splitting experiments against gas density for a sample of molecular clouds. Significant detections ( $> 3\sigma$ ) are plotted as black and filled circles. Data taken from Crutcher et al. (2010). The lines represent fits to the significant data points: i) constant  $B_0$  for  $n_{\text{H}} < 300 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and power-law for  $n_{\text{H}} > 300 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  (*solid line*), ii) a power-law for  $n_{\text{H}} > n_0 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  (*dashed line*), iii) a power-law for all  $n_{\text{H}}$  (*dotted line*), and iv) the fit by Crutcher et al. (2010) with  $B_0 = 10 \mu\text{G}$ ,  $n_0 = 300 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $\kappa = 0.65$  (*triple dot-dashed line*).

$$B = B_0 \quad (n < n_0)$$

$$B = B_0 (n / n_0)^{\kappa} \quad (n > n_0)$$

From Zeeman effect e.g. Crutcher (2012)

$$B = B_o \quad (n < n_o)$$

$$B = B_o (n / n_o)^\kappa \quad (n > n_o)$$


$$\kappa = 0.67$$

$$n_o = 300 \text{ cm}^{-3}$$

So effect is smaller than naive expectation.


## Using B-field and cosmic-ray models


Cosmic- ray electron distribution



**Figure 10.** CR source distributions from Strong et al. (2010) (blue line) and pulsar-based Lorimer et al. (2006) (red dashed line).  $R$  is the Galactocentric radius in kpc. The distributions are normalized at  $R = 8.5$  kpc.


**B model**





Electron + positron spectrum

> 7 GeV : Fermi-LAT



## Using **B** model and cosmic-ray electron distribution



408 MHz

Interstellar radio emission

19

Using various  
B-field  
and  
cosmic-ray  
models


Haslam



Orlando&Strong MNRAS 436, 2127 (2013)

408 MHz


Using  
B-field  
and  
cosmic-ray  
model







Orlando&Strong MNRAS 436, 2127 (2013)

408 MHz

Using various  
B-field  
and  
cosmic-ray  
models








INNER GALAXY


Orlando&Strong MNRAS 436, 2127 (2013)

## 408 MHz compared to HI, CO



**Figure 4.** The Galactic plane as seen at 408 MHz (Haslam et al. 1982) (black curve). Red curve: scaled CO; blue curve: scaled HI. The latitude range covers  $|b| < 5^\circ$ .

## CO scaled to 408 MHz



**Figure 5.** The Galactic plane as seen at 408 MHz (Haslam et al. 1982) (black curve). Red curve: scaled CO to fit data; blue curve: scaled HI (original scaling). Latitude range covers  $|b| < 5^\circ$  (left) and  $|b| < 2^\circ$  (right).

In fact synchrotron *does* correlate with CO along plane in inner Galaxy.  
 Including peak at Galactic Centre.  
 But what does this mean?  
 NB Cosmic-ray electron distribution not included in this scaling, would improve fit.

**Perhaps clouds make significant contribution to synchrotron in Galactic plane.**  
 Then they should be included in large-scale models.




External galaxies: Schinnerer et al 779, 42 (2013)

M51

3" resolution ( $\sim 120$  pc)

1.4 GHz vs CO

They suggest correlation could be related to B(n)



Clouds do shine  
in synchrotron

Cosmic-ray electrons (and positrons)

1 – 100 GeV for GHz synchrotron

Do these leptons penetrate clouds? ... sure they do!?

Gamma rays from clouds show that GeV protons do, and momentum which controls propagation is same as for leptons.

(also a theme at this conference, but issue mainly at MeV energies)

Secondary electron / positron production in clouds via pions :

Jones, Protheroe & Crocker PASA 25, 161 (2008)

Protheroe et al MNRAS 390, 683 (2008)

This would further increase the synchrotron.

## Individual clouds

Individual clouds have not been detected as synchrotron sources.

Jones, Protheroe & Crocker PASA 25, 161 (2008) :  
upper limits on  $B$  ( $< 500 \mu\text{G}$ ) for two starless cores.  
still consistent with  $B(n)$  law.

Jones et al ApJ 141, 82 (2011) : no synchrotron from SgrB region, apart from one source.

From Zeeman effect e.g. Crutcher (2012)

$$B = B_o \quad (n < n_o)$$

$$B = B_o (n / n_o)^\kappa \quad (n > n_o)$$

$$\kappa = 0.67$$

$$n_o = 300 \text{ cm}^{-3}$$

Significant synchrotron only from dense cores, sub-parsec, arcsec scales  
But still it is there at some level, can we exploit it?

Potential probe of B in clouds.

Detects total B not just line-of-sight

Detects integrated emission, not just densest regions.

Zeeman detects only regular, line-of-sight B so this underestimates synchrotron.

Could there be larger random B, maybe also on larger scales? Synchrotron could detect it.

## Formulae for reference.

### A 0.1 Synchrotron emissivity

$$\epsilon_{408} = 4.34 \cdot 10^{-40} (B_{rand}/10 \mu G)^{(\gamma+1)/2} \text{ erg cm}^{-3} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$$
$$= 0.026 (B_{rand}/10 \mu G)^{(\gamma+1)/2} \text{ K pc}^{-1}$$

### A 0.2 Given line-of-sight $B = B_o (n / n_o)^\kappa$

For line-of-sight L,

$$T_{408} = 261 (B_{rand}/10 \mu G)^{(\gamma+1)/2} (L/10 \text{ kpc}) \text{ K}$$

$$T_{408} = 2.81 \cdot 10^{-23} N_H (B_0/10)^{(\gamma+1)/2} (300/n_0) (n/n_0)^{\kappa(\gamma+1)/2 - 1} \text{ K}$$

### A 0.3 Single cloud

Consider a spherical cloud of radius  $r$  and distance  $d$ , random B-field  $B_{rand}$ .

The flux at 408 MHz is

$$S_{408} = 0.52 (B_{rand}/10 \mu G)^{(\gamma+1)/2} (r/10 \text{ pc})^3 (d/1000 \text{ pc})^{-2} \text{ Jy}$$


where  $\gamma = 3.14$  is the electron spectral index. For a measured  $B_{rand}$ , or using  $B(n)$ , the flux can then be computed.

Based on full synchrotron calculation using cosmic-ray electron + positron spectrum.  
(software available at <https://sourceforge.net/projects/galpropsynchrotron> )

# Estimated synchrotron emission from some clouds

**Table 1:** Molecular cloud data from [10] with estimates of predicted synchrotron flux density (mJy) at 1 GHz based on the statistical  $B$ - $n_{\text{H}}$  scaling law.

Name	$ B $ [ $\mu\text{G}$ ]	$n_{\text{H}_2}$ [ $\text{cm}^{-3}$ ]	$R$ [pc]	D [kpc]	$\theta$ [arcmin]	$T_{\text{GHz}}$ [mK]	$S_{\text{GHz}}^{\text{pred}}$ [mJy]
W3 OH	3100	6.31e+06	0.02	2.0	0.03	0.024	0.125
DR21 OH	710	2.00e+06	0.05	1.8	0.09	0.092	0.489
Sgr B2	480	2.51e+03	22.00	7.9	9.57	46.0	244.4
M17 SW	450	3.16e+04	1.00	1.8	1.96	2.6	13.7
W3 (main)	400	3.16e+05	0.12	2.0	0.20	0.084	0.447
S106	400	2.00e+05	0.07	0.6	0.40	0.11	0.586
DR21 OH2	360	1.00e+06	0.05	1.8	0.09	0.035	0.187
OMC-1	360	7.94e+05	0.05	0.4	0.42	0.52	2.7
NGC2024	87	1.00e+05	0.20	0.4	1.64	1.8	9.4
W40	14	5.01e+02	5.00	0.6	28.65	8.8	46.7
$\rho$ Oph 1	10	1.58e+03	0.03	0.1	0.76	0.0049	0.026



**Figure 2.** Comparison of predicted flux densities,  $S$ , from the measured  $B_z$  values (Crutcher 1999) and the from using the empirical  $B_z-n_{\text{H}}$  relation. The solid line is the 1:1 line.

Regular **B** does not increase if collapse along **B**  
→ reason for regular **B** = constant for  $n < 300 \text{ cm}^{-3}$

However....

Random **B** should always increase with compression  
→ random **B** should increase from interstellar  $n = 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  upwards

If this is the case, synchrotron could detect it.

## Synchrotron as potential probe of B in clouds:

Single dish telecopes: generally not sensitive enough.

JVLA: worth trying

Need: low frequency ( to avoid free-free emission )  
multi-frequency ( for component separation )  
polarization ( signature of synchrotron )  
high resolution ( since B concentrated in dense cores )

→ SKA: should be possible.

SKA-MID:

Sub-mJy, arcsec sources, frequencies down to 350 MHz: OK

Input to SKA Proposal Book.

Complementary to Zeeman,  
Detects *total **B*** not just regular or line-of-sight.

Complementary to optical polarization, CF method : less assumptions required

On large scales, observed correlation of synchrotron with CO is a hint of global cloud emission.

This will also benefit from new large-scale continuum surveys and high-resolution observations of external galaxies.

for more details :  
Strong, Dickinson et al. in preparation

END